THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

MINUTE ORDER C17-1876-JCC PAGE - 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

GEORGE VERKLER,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

CASE NO. C17-1876-JCC

MINUTE ORDER

The following minute order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C. Coughenour, United States District Judge:

This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner's motion for default judgment (Dkt. No. 21.) On August 4, 2015, the Court sentenced Petitioner to 48 months in custody after he pleaded guilty to two counts of theft of public funds and two counts of aggravated identity theft. (Dkt. No. 1 at 1.) The Ninth Circuit dismissed Petitioner's direct appeal based on the waiver included in his plea agreement. *United States v. Verkler*, Case No. CR15-0041-JCC, Dkt. Nos. 17 at 15 (W.D. Wash. 2015). Petitioner then filed a second appeal of the Court's rulings on various post-conviction motions, which the Ninth Circuit dismissed in part as untimely and denied in part as meritless. *Id.*, Dkt. No. 76 at 2. Petitioner subsequently filed a § 2255 motion on December 14, 2017, raising nineteen grounds for relief. (Dkt. No. 1.) The Court dismissed fourteen grounds and ordered service and a response to the remaining five. (Dkt. No. 5.) After receiving the

Case 2:17-cv-01876-JCC Document 22 Filed 05/29/20 Page 2 of 2

1	Government's response, the Court dismissed Petitioner's remaining five grounds for relief on
2	June 7, 2018, and entered judgment against Petitioner on June 15, 2018. (Dkt. Nos. 11–12.) Nine
3	months later, Petitioner tried to appeal the dismissal by filing a motion spanning 372 pages. (Dkt.
4	No. 16.) The Court explained that Petitioner could not appeal the dismissal without a certificate
5	of appealability. (Dkt. No. 18 at 1.) The Court therefore construed the appeal as an application
6	for a certificate of appealability, which the Court denied. (<i>Id.</i> at 1–2.)
7	Now, almost two years since the Court dismissed this action, Petitioner has again filed a
8	motion spanning hundreds of pages in which Petitioner rehashes many of the same arguments
9	that the Ninth Circuit and the Court have previously rejected. (See Dkt. No. 21 at 1–64.) The
10	Court rejects those arguments for the same reasons that it rejected them before. (See Dkt. No. 18
11	at 1–2.) The motion (Dkt. No. 21) is DENIED.
12	DATED this 29th day of May 2020.
13	William M. McCool
14	Clerk of Court
15	<u>s/Tomas Hernandez</u> Deputy Clerk
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	1

MINUTE ORDER C17-1876-JCC PAGE - 2